

SWAN Committee of the Whole - EBSCO Year-2 Renewal Questions			
Questions were answered during the session and provided here as reference when viewing the recording.			
Last Name	First Name	Time Asked	Question Asked
Murray	Frank	10:26:20 AM CST	Aaron, is this usage generated via users going to library database pages and clicking on a link or does this also include usage via Enterprise integration?
Seidl	Nicki	10:29:03 AM CST	Wouldn't cardholders served be a better number to use than total population? Only cardholders can use the databases.
Seidl	Nicki	10:29:03 AM CST	Wouldn't cardholders served be a better number to use than total population? Only cardholders can use the databases.
Hovanec	Jennifer	10:37:55 AM CST	This may be a newbie question, but how does the deal SWAN has negotiated compare to those with other consortia? CCS? PrairieCat?
Seidl	Nicki	10:43:03 AM CST	If we vote for option 1, do we add 3% to this year's costs?
Hovanec	Jennifer	10:44:56 AM CST	When we say "grassroots" effort for a state-wide purchase, are we looking at SWAN spearheading that, or are we looking to have the membership participate in drumming consensus? What would you all foreseeing that looking like?
Bukovac	Jamie	10:47:49 AM CST	=.If the membership chooses the opt out option, what's the tipping point re the number of libraries who could opt out without a huge increase to the remaining libraries. For example, if 50 libraries opted out what would that mean for the costs for the remaining libraries?
Cottrill	Jennifer	10:51:27 AM CST	Could you explain how you arrived at the estimate of 20 libraries opting out as your worst case scenario?
Jarzemsky	Tim	10:52:06 AM CST	Does the 2 year agreemnt have a cancellation clause ? for individual libraries or the group as a whole ? I ask as if the funding envivorment for members deteriorats - think reduction in PEr Capta
Bartnik	Laura	10:52:08 AM CST	I'm curious why SWAN is recommending option 1, when option 2 seems win win for all. Libraries who need to opt out are able to, and discounts between 25-60% are still provided to those opting in.
Johnson	Chuck	10:52:44 AM CST	Our library was library B on your slide. Your price for Consumer Reports and Novelist alone was listed at \$4500. I negotiated a price for those databases last year with Keven Sawyer for \$3700. I can't believe that it went up \$800 in 1 year.
Bukovac	Jamie	10:57:20 AM CST	That's an interesting point Robin re the variations in pricing among libraries. This plan ensures fairness for all libraries.

Wassenaar	Anna	10:58:45 AM CST	Has SWAN considered negotiating a deal for a smaller database bundle, perhaps just Novelist/Consumer Reports as a package?
Seidl	Nicki	11:01:42 AM CST	I totally agree with Jennifer.
Murray	Frank	11:03:09 AM CST	I agree as well. Ultimately, libraries should have the ability to opt-out.
Craft	Lori	11:04:14 AM CST	Before we would vote on resolution w/opt out, I would want to know how many libraries (and in what group) would actually opt out. Can we do a survey?
Cutshall	Jennifer	11:04:27 AM CST	Agreed!
Johnson	Chuck	11:05:23 AM CST	Agreed.
Rayford	Christyn	11:05:43 AM CST	I agree with what was just said. I looked at our stats and the databases aren't being used
Gallardo	Charity	11:05:54 AM CST	I agree with Jennifer and Laura. I think we all understand that the more libraries who participate, the greater the discount. That doesn't mean we should force everyone to participate for a greater discount.
Wassenaar	Anna	11:07:14 AM CST	Also think libraries should have the option
Cutshall	Jennifer	11:07:55 AM CST	I also agree with having the option
Jarzemsky	Tim	11:08:53 AM CST	i would suggest getting this info out via web - many mebers are not present today
Cutshall	Jennifer	11:09:05 AM CST	this is important. its not a burden. we need the info
Cutshall	Jennifer	11:09:42 AM CST	agreed, I didn't know this was happening and received no notice.
Jenkins	Jane	11:10:58 AM CST	Thank you for the beneficial discussion,